

## Black-Scholes formula - a Heston approach

*Bogdan Patrut*

Vasile Alecsandri University of Bacau, Faculty of Sciences, Romania  
bogdan@edusoft.ro

*Tiberiu Socaciu*

Stefan cel Mare University of Suceava, Faculty of Economics and Public Administration, Romania  
tibisocaciu@yahoo.com

### Abstract

In this paper we will compare Black-Scholes formula with a particular case of Heston formula, both solutions of the same problem.

**Keywords:** Black-Scholes model, Heston model, comparing analytic solutions.

### 1. Introduction

As an extension of Black and Scholes (1973) model:

$$dS = \mu S dt + \sigma^{0.5} S dW, \quad (1)$$

where

- a)  $W$  is an Wiener process;
- b)  $\mu$  is a constant named drift;
- c)  $\sigma$  is a constant named volatility;
- d)  $S$  is a process for a traded asset.

Steven and Heston (1993) define a new model with a stochastic volatility, see equation (2):

$$\begin{aligned} dS &= \mu S dt + v^{0.5} S dW \\ dv &= \theta (\sigma - v) dt + \xi v^{0.5} dB, \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

where:

- a)  $\omega$  is long term of volatility;
- b)  $\theta$  is return factor to mean of volatility ( $\sigma$ );
- c)  $\xi$  is volatility of volatility;
- d)  $B$  and  $W$  are Wiener standard processes  $\rho$ -correlated;
- e)  $S$  is a stochastic process for a traded asset;
- f)  $v$  is a stochastic process for volatility.

This model was extended by Christoffersen, Heston and Jacobs (2009) as a model with two stochastic semi-volatilities. In our opinion, this model can be generalized as a stochastic model with  $q$  ( $q > 0$ ) stochastic partial-(or semi-)volatilities.

### 2. Solutions of the models in mirror

SDE for BS model	$dS = \mu S dt + \sigma^{0.5} S dW$
Analytic solutions for european calls	$V(s,t) = N(d_1) S - N(d_2) E \exp(-r(T-t))$ $d_1 = \sigma^{-1} (T-t)^{-0.5} [\ln(S/E) + (r + \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2)(T-t)]$ $d_2 = \sigma^{-1} (T-t)^{-0.5} [\ln(S/E) + (r - \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2)(T-t)]$

with E strike with BS model	$N(x) - pdf \text{ of } N(0,1) \text{ distribution}$
Official references for model and analytic solutions for BS model	(Black, F. & Scholes, M., 1973)
SDEs for H model	$dS = \mu S dt + v^{0.5} S dW$ $dv = \theta (\sigma - v) dt + \zeta v^{0.5} dB$
Analytic solutions for european calls with E strike with H model	$V(s, v, t) = S P_1 - E \exp(-r(T-t)) P_2$ $P_j = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^\infty \text{Re} \left[ \frac{\exp(i\phi \log(E)) f_j(x, v, t, \phi)}{i\phi} \right] d\phi$ $f_j(x, v, t, z) = \exp(C_j(T-t, z) + D_j(T-t, z)z + i z x)$ $C_j(t, z) = r z i t + a [(b_j - \rho \zeta z i + d_j) t - 2 \log(1 - g_j \exp(d_j r)) + 2 \log(1 - g_j)] \zeta^2$ $D_j(t, z) = [(b_j - \rho \zeta z i + d_j) [1 - \exp(d_j r)]] \zeta^2 [1 - \exp(d_j r)]^{-1}$ $g_j = [b_j - \rho \zeta z i + d_j] [b_j - \rho \zeta z i - d_j]^{-1}$ $d_j = [(b_j - \rho \zeta z i)^2 - \zeta^2 (2 u_j z i - z^2)]^{0.5}$ $u_1 = 1/2$ $u_2 = -1/2$ $a = k \theta$ $b_1 = k + \lambda - \rho \zeta$ $b_2 = k + \lambda$ $j=1,2$
Official references for model and analytic solutions for H model	(Steven & Heston, 1993)

### 3. Links between solutions?

We can point that Heston model is a generalization of Black-Scholes model. For

$$v = \sigma \quad (3)$$

id est:

$$dv = 0 \quad (4)$$

the two models are identical.

But

$$dv = 0 \quad (5)$$

is same with

$$\theta = \zeta = 0, \quad (6)$$

that means:

$$a = k \theta = 0, \quad (7)$$

$$b_1 = k + \lambda - \rho \zeta = k + \lambda = b_2, \quad (8)$$

$$d_j = [(b_j - \rho \zeta z i)^2 - \zeta^2 (2 u_j z i - z^2)]^{0.5} = [(b_j - \rho \zeta z i)^2]^{0.5} = |b_j|, \quad (9)$$

$$g_j = [b_j - \rho \zeta z i + d_j] [b_j - \rho \zeta z i - d_j]^{-1} = [b_j + |b_j|] [b_j - |b_j|]^{-1} = 0, \quad (10)$$

if assume that

$$k + \lambda < 0$$

$$D_j(t, z) = [(b_j - \rho \zeta z i + d_j) [1 - \exp(d_j r)]] \zeta^2 [1 - \exp(d_j r)]^{-1} = [b_j + |b_j|] [1 - \exp(|b_j| r)] \zeta^2 [1 - \exp(|b_j| r)]^{-1} = 0, \quad (11)$$

if assume that

$$[b_j + |b_j|] \zeta^2 = 0/0 = 0, \quad (12)$$

$$C_j(t, z) = r z i t + a [(b_j - \rho \zeta z i + d_j) t - 2 \log(1 - g_j \exp(d_j r)) + 2 \log(1 - g_j)] \zeta^2 = r z i t, \quad (13)$$

if assume that

$$+ a \zeta^2 = 0/0 = 0. \quad (14)$$

$$f_j(x, v, t, z) = \exp(C_j(T - t, z) + D_j(T - t, z) z + i z x) = \exp(r z i t + i z x)$$

$$P_j = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^\infty \operatorname{Re} \left[ \frac{\exp(i \varphi \log(E)) f_j(x, v, t, \varphi)}{i \varphi} \right] d\varphi = \frac{1}{2} + \int_0^\infty \operatorname{Re} [ \exp(i z \log(E)) \exp(r z i t + i z x) i^{-1} z^{-1} ] dz = \frac{1}{2} + \int_0^\infty \operatorname{Re} [ [\cos(z \log(E)) + i \sin(z \log(E))] [\cos(r z t + z x) + i \sin(r z t + z x)] i^{-1} z^{-1} ] dz = \frac{1}{2} + \int_0^\infty \cos(z \log(E)) \sin(r z t + z x) + \sin(z \log(E)) \cos(r z t + z x) ] z^{-1} dz = \frac{1}{2} + \int_0^\infty [ \sin(z \log(E) + r z t + z x) ] z^{-1} dz. \quad (15)$$

#### 4. Comments and further works

We expected that the two solutions are identical. Because not getting the same result on the two different routes, results that Heston solution has a little inconsistency on some particular cases, like  $\xi = 0$  (we use that  $0/\xi = 0!$ ). Therefore, a revision of the solution Heston by treating individual cases. We intend to do so in the future.

#### 5. Acknowledgment

This paper has been financially supported within the project entitled "Routes of academic excellence in doctoral and post-doctoral research, contract number POSDRU/159/1.5/S/137926, beneficiary: Romanian Academy, the project being co-financed by European Social Fund through Sectoral Operational Programme for Human Resources Development 2007-2013.

### **References**

- Black, F. & Scholes, M. (1973). The Pricing of Options and Corporate Liabilities, in *Journal of Political Economy*, 81 (3), pp. 637-654, last access: 20.06.2014. Retrieved from:  
[https://www.cs.princeton.edu/courses/archive/fall09/cos323/papers/black\\_scholes73.pdf](https://www.cs.princeton.edu/courses/archive/fall09/cos323/papers/black_scholes73.pdf)
- Christoffersen, P., Heston, S. & Jacobs, K. (2009). The Shape and Term Structure of the Index Option Smirk: Why Multifactor Stochastic Volatility Models Work so Well, in *Management Science*, preprint SSRN, 20.02.2009, last access: 28.12.2013. Retrieved from:  
[http://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract\\_id=961037](http://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=961037)
- Steven L. & Heston, A. (1993). Closed-Form Solution for Options with Stochastic Volatility with Applications to Bond and Currency Options, in *The Review of Financial Studies*, volume 6, number 2, pp. 327-343, last access: 1.12.2009. Retrieved from:  
<http://www.javaquant.net/papers/Heston-original.pdf>